## C4. VERBS WITH DIRECT FLEXIONS

## C4.1 THE SO-CALLED "SECOND TENSES"

C4.11 The tense stem for a flexion of certain verbs is formed without the morph consonant which is normally used for that tense. As we have seen (\#C1.82), this always happens in the case of the aorist active of liquid verbs, which take $-\alpha$ - and not $-\sigma \alpha$ - as their punctiliar morph (because the $-\sigma$ slides off the liquid). It also occurs in a number of consonant-stem verbs (and one with a vowel stem) in the future middle (deponent), the perfect active and middle/passive, and the aorist passive (and thus in the future passive which is formed from it).

C4.12 No special name seems to have been given to this characteristic when it occurs in the aorist active of liquid verbs or of such other verbs as $\eta^{\mathfrak{j}} \lambda \theta \alpha$, $\varepsilon i \pi \alpha$ and $\varepsilon_{i}^{i} \delta \alpha$ (see \#C2.93), but in the other tenses it is said by numerous grammarians (on the supposed analogy of the second aorist active) to be a "second future", "second perfect", or a "second aorist passive". This is a misleading and confusing choice of terminology. To call something a "second aorist" is a short-hand way of saying that such a flexion follows a "second pattern of conjugating its aorist", in contradistinction from the $\lambda v$ o model, which is the "first" and overwhelmingly more common pattern. Thus a true second aorist has a different set of endings from the first aorist. Now this is unquestionably true of the second aorist active flexion: the aorist flexion of $\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ( $\varepsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \sigma v$ ), does indeed follow a different pattern from the first aorist of $\lambda v{ }^{\prime} \omega(\varepsilon ̌ \lambda v \sigma \alpha)$. But these so-called other "second tenses" simply lack the consonant part of the morph which identifies their aspect or voice (i.e. they contain a shorter alternative morph or allomorph of the usual aspect and/or voice morph). But as far as their endings are concerned, it is a fact that they do not differ in any way from the regular paradigms of the First Conjugation.
C4.13 A more accurate approach is to note that these verbs add their distinctive aspect/voice morphs and endings more directly to the tense stem, i.e. without the usual intervening consonant, and a more appropriate descriptive term for them is therefore direct verbs or verbs with a direct tense or direct flexion.
C4.14 Direct flexions occur in the future middle (lacking $-\sigma$-); in the perfect active (lacking the aspiration of the consonant if the stem ends in a labial, palatal or dental, or the $-\kappa$ - in other cases); or in the aorist passive/future passive (lacking the $-\theta$-).

C4.15 The following Synopsis gives the complete list of all direct flexions of verbs found in the New Testament (other than the first aorist for liquid verbs, for which see \#C1.89). Verb roots are given in brackets with the sign $\sqrt{ }$.

## C4.2 DIRECT FLEXION FUTURE MIDDLE (DEPONENT) (2)

| ċ $\sigma \theta i ́ \omega$ | eat | $\left.\left(\sqrt{ } /{ }^{\prime} \gamma\right\rangle\right)$ | $\phi \alpha \chi^{\prime} \gamma \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | ëф $\alpha \gamma \bigcirc$ |  | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi i v \omega$ | drink | $(\sqrt{ } \pi$ ) | $\pi i o \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | énıov | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega \kappa \alpha$ | - |  |

C4.3 DIRECT FLEXION PERFECT ACTIVE (9)

| $\dot{\alpha} \kappa 0$ v́a | hear | ( $\sqrt{\alpha}$ Kov) | $\dot{\alpha} \kappa$ ои́ $\sigma \omega$ | グкоข $\sigma \alpha$ | $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \bar{\prime} \kappa о \alpha$ |  | ท่коv́бӨŋv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{\alpha}$ voí $\gamma \omega \dagger$ | open | ( $\sqrt{\text { ol } \gamma \text { ) }}$ |  | $\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ́ \omega \xi{ }^{( }$ | $\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ́ \omega \gamma \alpha$ | $\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon$ ć¢үй | $\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \omega \dot{\chi} \chi \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ód $\lambda v \mu \iota$ | destroy | ( $\sqrt{ } \circ \lambda$ ) | $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon$ ¢́ $\sigma \omega$ | $\dot{\alpha} \pi \bar{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ | $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó̀ $\omega \lambda \alpha$ | - |  |
| रivoucı | become | $(\sqrt{ } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathrm{E}$ ) | रЕvท́боноı |  | $\gamma \varepsilon ์ \gamma o v \alpha$ | $\gamma \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ́ v \eta \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mathcal{E} v \eta \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\eta$ П' $\kappa \omega$ | be present | $(\sqrt{\eta} \kappa)$ |  | $\eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \xi \alpha$ | $\eta$ Пौк $\alpha$ | - | - |
| $\kappa \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ | cry out | $(\sqrt{ } \kappa \rho \alpha \gamma)$ | $\kappa \rho \alpha ́ \xi \omega$ | є́кр $\alpha \xi \alpha /$-оv | $\kappa \varepsilon ́ \kappa \rho \alpha \gamma \alpha$ | - | - |
| - | know | ( $\sqrt{ } \stackrel{\prime}{ }$ ) | - | - | oî $\delta \alpha$ | - | - |
| $\sigma \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega$ | decay | $(\sqrt{\sigma \eta \pi})$ | ( $\sigma \eta \chi^{\prime} \psi \omega$ ) |  | $\sigma \varepsilon ́ \sigma \eta \pi \alpha$ | - | - |
| фعv́ $\gamma \omega$ | flee | $(\sqrt{ } \phi v \gamma$ ) |  | ๕̌¢ขүov | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \phi \varepsilon v \gamma \alpha$ | - | - |

## C4．4 DIRECT FLEXION AORIST／FUTURE PASSIVE（29）

| $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \dot{\chi} \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega$ | announce | （ $\sqrt{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda$ ） | $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \overline{\text { dé }}$ ¢ | $\eta ้ \gamma \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha$ | $\eta{ }^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \kappa \alpha$ |  | $\eta \chi^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\chi} \lambda \eta \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ | change | （ $\sqrt{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda\rangle)$ | $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \omega^{\circ}$ | グ $\lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha$ | （ $\eta^{\prime \prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \alpha$ ） | $\eta \times \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \sim$ | $\eta$ خ $\lambda \lambda \alpha<\eta \nu$ |
| $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\zeta}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | snatch | （ $\sqrt{ } \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \zeta)$ | $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ | ท＇$\rho \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha$ |  | （ $\left.{ }^{\prime} \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha\right)$ | $\dot{\eta} \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\gamma} \eta \nu$ |
| रро́фө | write | $(\sqrt{ } \gamma \rho \alpha \phi)$ | $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\psi} \omega$ | غ́¢ $\gamma \alpha \psi \alpha$ | $\gamma \dot{\chi} \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \alpha$ | $\gamma \varepsilon ́ \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \nu$ |
| $\delta \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$ | thrash | $(\sqrt{ } \delta \varepsilon \rho)$ | （ $\delta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega)$ | ع̌ $\delta \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ | － | （ $\delta \varepsilon ́ \delta \alpha \rho \mu \alpha)^{\text {）}}$ | غ́ $\delta \alpha ́ \rho \eta \nu$ |
| $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ | bury | $(\sqrt{ } \theta \alpha \phi)$ | （ $\theta \dot{\alpha} \psi \omega$ ） | č $\theta \alpha \psi \alpha$ | － | $\tau \varepsilon ́ \theta \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \nu$ |
| $\kappa \alpha i \underline{\omega} \ddagger$ | burn | $(\sqrt{\kappa \alpha}$ ） | $\kappa \alpha v ́ \sigma \omega$ | ย̇к $\alpha v \sigma \alpha$ | － | $\kappa \varepsilon ์ \kappa \alpha v \mu \alpha \iota$ | غ̇ко́ŋ $\nu$ |
| $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\gamma} \nu v \mu \downarrow$ | break | $(\sqrt{ } \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \gamma)$ | $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ์ \alpha \xi \omega$ | $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \alpha \xi \alpha$ | － | － | $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha ́ \gamma \eta \nu$ |
| ко́л兀 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | cut | （ $\sqrt{\text { кол }}$ ） | ко́廿ш $\omega$ | ع̋коч | （кє́коф ${ }^{\text {）}}$ | （кє́ко $\mu \mu \alpha$ ） | $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa о ́ \pi \eta \nu$ |
| $\kappa \rho v ่ \pi \tau \omega$ | conceal | $(\sqrt{ } \kappa \rho v \beta$ ） | （крv́\％ | ச̌кроv\％ | （кє́крขфх） | $\kappa \varepsilon ́ \kappa \rho \cup \mu \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | غ̇крט́ßךv |
| vv́бб $\omega$ | prick | （ $\sqrt{ } v v \gamma$ ） | － | غ́vv ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | － | － | غ̇vú ${ }^{\text {che }}$ |
| $\pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \kappa \omega$ | weave | （ $\sqrt{ } \pi \lambda \varepsilon \kappa)$ | （ $\pi \lambda \bar{\varepsilon} \xi \omega)$ | ¢ $̇ \pi \lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha$ | （ $\pi \varepsilon$ ¢́ $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \chi \alpha)$ | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$ | غ̇л $\lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta \nu$ |
| $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ | strike | （ $\sqrt{\pi \lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \gamma$ ） | （ $\pi \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \xi \omega)$ | ¢̈ $\pi \lambda \eta \xi \alpha$ | － | － | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ¢ } \pi \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\prime} \gamma \eta \nu \\ -\varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \nu\end{array}\right\}$ |
| $\pi v i \gamma \omega$ | choke | $(\sqrt{ } \pi v \imath \gamma)$ | （ $\pi v i \xi \omega \omega)$ |  | － | － | غ̇лvìqv |
| $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ | sow | （ $\sqrt{ } \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ ） | $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega$ |  | （ （̌\％$\sigma \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha)$ | ¢̈\％$\sigma \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \eta \nu$ |
| $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ | send | （ $\sqrt{ } \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda$ ） | $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega$ | દ̌の $\tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha$ | हैб $\tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \alpha$ | غ́б $\sigma \alpha \alpha \mu \alpha \tau$ | $\varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \nu$ |
| $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \phi \omega$ | turn | $(\sqrt{ } \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \phi)$ | $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \psi \omega$ | है $\tau \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$ | － | غ̇б $\tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \nu$ |
| $\sigma \phi \alpha \dot{\zeta} \omega$ | slaughter | （ $\sqrt{ } \sigma \phi \alpha \gamma$ ） | $\sigma \phi \alpha ́ \xi \omega$ | हैб $¢ \alpha \xi \alpha$ | － | ع̌ $\sigma \phi \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \downarrow$ |  |
| $\tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ | appoint | （ $\sqrt{ } \tau \alpha \gamma$ ） | $\tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \omega$ | ¢̇ $\tau \alpha \xi \alpha$ | $\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha \chi \alpha$ | $\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \dot{\chi} \eta \nu$ |
| －$\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ | turn | （ $\sqrt{ } \tau \rho \varepsilon \pi$ ） | （ $\tau \rho \varepsilon$ ¢́ $\psi \omega$ ） | －$¢$ ¢ $\tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$ | － | （ $\tau \varepsilon$＇$\tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha$ ） | －غ่ $\tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \nu$ |
| $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \phi \omega$ | nourish | （ $\sqrt{ } \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \varepsilon \phi$ ） | （ $\theta \rho \varepsilon$ ć $\psi \omega$ ） | $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \theta \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$ | － | $\tau \varepsilon ́ \theta \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dagger \eta \nu$ |
| －$\tau \rho 1 \beta$ i $\omega$ | rub | $(\sqrt{ } \tau \rho \imath \beta)$ | －$\tau \rho i \not \psi \omega$ | －$\varepsilon$ ¢ $\tau \iota \psi \alpha$ | （ $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \iota \phi \alpha$ ） | －$\tau \varepsilon$ ¢́ $\tau \rho \iota \mu \mu \alpha \downarrow$ |  |
| ф＜ivo | shine／appear | $(\sqrt{ } \phi \alpha v)$ | $\phi \alpha v \varepsilon ́ o \mu \alpha{ }^{\text {d }}$ | है¢ $\alpha \nu \alpha$ | － | － | غ́¢ $\alpha$ 人́v ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\phi \theta \varepsilon i ́ \rho \omega$ | ruin | （ $\downarrow$ ¢ $\theta \varepsilon \rho$ ） | $\phi \theta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ์ \omega$ | ¢́¢ $\theta \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha$ | （ $\quad$ ¢ $¢ \theta \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha)$ | है $\phi \theta \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \downarrow$ |  |
| фן $\alpha$ бб $\omega$ | close up | $(\sqrt{ } \phi \rho \alpha \gamma)$ | （ $\phi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} \omega$ ） |  | － | － |  |
| $\phi v ์ \omega$ | grow up | $(\sqrt{ } \phi v)$ | （ $\phi$ v́ $\sigma \omega$ ） | （ ${ }^{\prime} \phi \cup v$ ） | － | － | غ̇фv́qv |
| $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ | rejoice | $(\sqrt{ } \chi \alpha \rho)$ | $\chi \alpha \rho \eta \chi^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | － | － | － | $\varepsilon$ غ́ $\chi \alpha ́ \rho \eta \nu$ |
| $\psi v \chi \omega$ | cool down | $(\sqrt{ } \psi \vee \chi)$ | （ $\psi v \xi \omega$ ） | غ́ $\psi \nu \zeta \alpha$ | － | － | $\varepsilon \psi v \gamma \eta v$ |

$\ddagger$ Re verbs with digamma（ $F$ ）：see \＃C8．7．

## C4．5 CONCERNING VERBS WITH DIRECT FLEXIONS

C4．51 A direct flexion form is an irregular verb form because it is not possible to predict from the verb＇s lexical form that that particular form will occur．

C4．52 The foregoing is a complete list of all the direct flexions which actually occur in the New Testament．There are numbers of other verbs used in the New Testament which have direct flexions but which are not included here because no form from such a direct flexion appears in the New Testament．（Examples of such verbs are：with direct perfect active，$\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \kappa \tau \varepsilon i v \omega / \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ́ \kappa \tau o v \alpha$ ， $\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \omega / \lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha, \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \pi \omega / \lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda o \imath \pi \alpha$ ；with direct aorist passive，$\mu i ́ \gamma v v \mu t / \varepsilon ́ \mu i ́ \gamma \eta \nu, \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \omega / \varepsilon ̇ \rho \rho v ́ \eta v$ ， $\sigma ט ́ \rho \omega / \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \cup ́ \rho \eta v$ ．）
C4．53 Several of the words with an aorist passive direct flexion are also found with the regular forms in use as well．Thus for $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \zeta \omega$ both $\dot{\eta} \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta v$ and $\dot{\eta} \rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta v$ were in use；and similarly for $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega(\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \alpha \eta \nu / \varepsilon ่ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \theta \eta v)$ ；$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega(\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta v / \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \alpha ́ \rho \theta \eta v) ; \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega(-\varepsilon \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \eta v /-\tau \alpha ́ \chi \theta \eta v) ;-\tau \rho i ́ \beta \omega$ （－$\varepsilon \tau \rho i ́ \beta \eta v /-\varepsilon \tau \rho i ́ \phi \theta \eta v)$ ．

C4．54 Numbers of verbs do not take $-\kappa \alpha$ in the perfect active，but instead aspirate the final stem consonant．Grammarians have frequently grouped these with the direct flexion perfects and also called them＂second perfects＂．This is a misclassification，and arises from a failure in phonemic analysis of the language．The phoneme，＂aspiration plus $-\alpha$＂as an allomorph of $-\kappa \alpha$ as the perfect active morph，is completely regular．It is in accordance with simple，straightforward phonemic rules（see \＃10．45 and \＃E2．6）and thus is predictable for all regular verbs．There is therefore no basis for classifying verbs of this kind with irregular（i．e．，unpredictable）verbs forms．Examples
of verbs with this completely regular perfect active can be seen from \#C4.4 (which lists those with a direct flexion - and thus, irregular - aorist passive): a labial plus $-\kappa \alpha$ aspirates to $-\phi \alpha$ as in $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \phi \omega \rightarrow \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \alpha$, ко́л $\tau \omega \rightarrow$ к $\kappa к о \phi \alpha, \kappa \rho v \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \omega \rightarrow \kappa \varepsilon ́ \kappa \rho v \phi \alpha, \tau \rho i ́ \beta \omega \rightarrow \tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \iota \phi \alpha$; a palatal (including - $\sigma \sigma$ ) plus $-\kappa \alpha$ aspirates to $-\chi \alpha$, as in $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega \rightarrow \eta^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \alpha, \pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \kappa \omega \rightarrow \pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \lambda \varepsilon \chi \alpha$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega \rightarrow \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \chi \alpha$. Similarly if the stem ends in $-\chi: \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \rho \chi \omega \rightarrow \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \alpha$. (See the paradigms for labial stem and palatal stem verbs, \#C1.5 and \#C1.6.)

## C5. VERBS WHICH TAKE TWO ASPECT MORPHS

## C5.0 FEATURES OF THIS GROUP OF VERBS

C5.01 Greek contains a number of verbs which are conjugational hybrids: like verbs of the Second and Third Conjugations (\#C2 and \#C3), they add a durative aspect morph in the formation of their present/imperfect tense system, and in addition, like verbs of the First Conjugation (\#C1), they add the punctiliar aspect morph $-\sigma \alpha(-\alpha$ for liquids) in forming the aorist.
C5.02 Because their aorist thus formed is a first aorist, they are to be classified as verbs of the First Conjugation.
C5.03 The durative morphs that they add are similar to those used by Second and/or Third Conjugation verbs in the same way.
C5.04 Those with verb stems in $-\lambda$ double the $-\lambda$ in accordance with the regular rule for liquids (\#С1.83), and those with present stems in $-\alpha \iota \rho,-\varepsilon \iota \rho,-\alpha l v$, and $-\varepsilon \imath v$ have added the infix $-l$ - in the formation of the present stem (\#C1.84). This $-\lambda$ - or $-t$ - (as the case may be) is a durative morph in the verb in which it occurs, and it indicates that the verb form in which it occurs is from that verb's durative aspect system. This durative infix $-l$ - in the present/imperfect tenses is a totally different morph from the punctiliar infix $-t$ - which occurs together with $-\alpha$ as the punctiliar morph in those liquid verbs which have $-\varepsilon$ - as the stem vowel before the liquid: see \#C1.85(a) for details of these.
C5.05 All the verbs occurring in the New Testament which take both a durative and a punctiliar aspect morph are given in the following Synopsis, grouped according to the particular durative aspect morph that they take.

## C5.1 REDUPLICATE IN - $\boldsymbol{\imath}$ -

| $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \dot{\kappa} \omega$ | consume | $(\downarrow \beta \rho \omega)$ |  |  | $\beta \dot{\beta} \beta \rho \omega \kappa \alpha$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| غ̇vסiסúcка | clothe in | ( $\sqrt{\varepsilon} v \delta v$ ) |  |  | - |  |  |
| $\mu \mu v \eta \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$ | remember | $(\nu \mu \nu \eta)$ | $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega$ | $\underline{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha$ | - | $\mu \bar{\mu} \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \eta$ |
| $\pi л \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma \kappa \omega}$ | sell | $(\sqrt{ } \pi \rho \alpha)$ |  |  | $\pi \varepsilon ̇ \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha$ | $\pi \varepsilon ̇ \pi \rho \alpha \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \nu$ |

(These have also added $-\sigma \kappa$, and so are listed again in \#C5.5.)

## C5.2 DOUBLE THE - $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

(The nine verbs in this category are listed under First Conjugation in \#C1.83, and their Principal Parts are given in the list of liquid verbs in \#C1.89.)

## C5.3 ADD - $v$ (alone, or with other letters)

C5.31 ADD - $v$

| $\alpha$ ט̧̉ávo | increase |  | $\alpha v ̉ \xi \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega$ | $\eta$ ช̋ $\eta$ ¢ $\sigma \alpha$ | ( $\eta$ טै $\dagger \eta \kappa \alpha$ ) |  | $\eta u ̉ \xi \eta \dot{\theta} \eta{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{v} \omega$ | sprout | $(\downarrow \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha)$ | ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \prime \sigma \omega$ ) | $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$ | ( $\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ ) |  |  |
| тivo | pay | $(\sqrt{ } \tau)$ | $\tau i \sigma \omega$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\phi \theta$ óv $\omega$ | precede | $(\sqrt{ } \phi \theta \alpha)$ | ( $\phi \theta \alpha$ '́c $)$ | $\underline{\varepsilon} \phi \theta \alpha \sigma \alpha$ | है¢ $\theta \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ | - | - |
| C5.32 ADD - vv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -хข́vva | pour | $(\downarrow \chi \cup)$ | - | - -r | -ке́ $\chi$ טка -к | кє́ $\chi \nu \mu \sim \downarrow$ | $-\varepsilon ̇ \chi \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \nu$ |

C5.33 ADD - $2 v$


C5.34 ADD - vv

C5.4 ADD - $\varepsilon$

C5.5 ADD - $\sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ (after a consonant) OR - $\sigma \kappa$ (after a vowel)

| $\dot{\alpha} \mathbf{\alpha} \alpha \lambda i \sigma \kappa \omega$ | destroy | $(\sqrt{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda 0)$ | $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \omega$ | $\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \dot{\prime} \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha$ | - | - | $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} v \eta \lambda \omega \theta \eta \eta v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{\alpha} \rho \bar{\varepsilon} \sigma \kappa \omega$ | please | $(\sqrt{\dot{\alpha}} \rho \varepsilon)$ | $\dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega$ | $\eta ้ \rho \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ |  |  |  |
| $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega ́ \sigma к \omega$ | consume | $(\sqrt{ }$ ® $\omega \omega)$ | , | - | $\beta$ в $¢$ ¢оюк $\alpha$ | - |  |
| во́бкш | feed | $(\checkmark \beta o)$ | - | - | в |  |  |
| үодібккш | marry | ( $\sqrt{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mu)$ | - |  | - |  |  |
| тро́бкка | grow old | $(\sqrt{ } \boldsymbol{\prime} \rho \rho \alpha)$ | ( $\quad$ ¢ $\rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma$ ) | غ̇ $\gamma \dot{\prime}$ ¢ $\alpha \sigma \alpha$ | - |  |  |
|  | teach | ( $\sqrt{\delta} \mathbf{\delta} \delta \alpha \kappa$ ) | $\delta t \delta \alpha ́ \xi \omega$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\delta} \delta \alpha \xi \alpha$ | - | - | $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta i \delta \alpha \dot{ } \chi \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} v \delta i \delta u ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$ | clothe in | ( $\downarrow$ ¢ $\varepsilon$ v $\delta v$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| غ̇пlфхv́бкш | shine upon |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| غ̇пьф'бка | dawn | ( لغ̇пा¢ ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | - | - | - | - |  |
| іло́бккоиоı | propitiate | $\left({ }^{\text {i }}\right.$ ( $\alpha$ ) | - | - | - | - | i $\lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \neq \eta \nu$ |
| $\mu \varepsilon \theta$ v́бкодои | get drunk | ( $V \mu \varepsilon \theta v$ ) |  | - | - | - | $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \theta \dot{\sim} \sigma \theta \eta v$ |
| $\mu ц \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$ | remember | ( $V \mu v \eta$ ) | $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega$ | ¢̈ $\mu v \eta \sigma \alpha$ |  | $\mu \bar{\mu} \mu v \eta \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu v \eta \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta \eta \nu$ |
| $\pi 1 \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ фо́бк | sell assert | $(\sqrt{ }$ ( $\dagger \rho \alpha)$ | - |  | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha$ | $\pi \varepsilon ̇ \pi \rho \alpha \mu<\downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \Pi \rho \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \nu$ |
| фо́бкढ | assert | $(\sqrt{\phi} \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ | - | - | - | - | - |

## C5.6 ADD INFIX - $\downarrow$ - TO THE STEM

(The 27 verbs in this category are all listed in \#C1.84, and their Principal Parts are given in the list of liquid verbs in \#C1.89.)

## C5.7 ADD - $\tau$ TO VERB IN $-\pi$

These verbs have the same form as $\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega$, and follow its paradigm (see \#C1.5), losing the $-\tau$ outside the durative system and following the usual pattern of labial stem verbs. Three ( $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \tau$, $\kappa о ́ \pi \tau \omega, \kappa \rho v ่ \pi \tau \omega)$ have direct flexions in the aorist passive, and are included in the list in \#C4.4. These are the eighteen verbs which add $-\tau$ as a durative morph:

| $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ | light | $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \tau \omega$ | bury | кข́лт $\omega$ | stoop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ | flash | $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega$ | cover | vínt⿳ | wash |
| $\beta \alpha \dot{\pi} \tau \tau$ | dip | $\kappa<{ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \tau \omega$ | bend | р́íл $\tau \omega$ | cast down |
| $\beta \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \pi \tau \omega$ | harm | $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \tau \tau \omega$ | steal | $\sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega$ |  |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$ | sew on | ко́лтө | cut | $\sigma v v \theta \rho v ́ \pi \tau \omega$ | break |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ์ \pi \tau о \mu \alpha \iota$ | visit | $\kappa \rho v ์ \pi \tau \omega$ | conceal | $\tau \nu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$ | strike/hit |

## C6. CONSPECTUS OF THE THREE CONJUGATIONS

## C6.0 CONSPECTUS COVERAGE

C6.01 This Conspectus shows in parallel columns the various conjugations (and paradigms within a Conjugation, to the extent that they exhibit differences) for each tense.
C6.02 At the top of each of the two main sections of the Conspectus is given the Paradigm Number for each paradigm that is set out there, and the Paradigm Number is followed by the root for the model verb of that paradigm.

C6.03 Numbers of forms are given in this Conspectus which do not occur in the New Testament. These forms are included here for three main reasons: Firstly, the most effective way of mastering these paradigms is, for many students, not by rote learning but by gaining an overall appreciation of the pattern of word formation, and this is more easily seen when all the forms are set out. Secondly, when a student is analyzing a form in the New Testament text and trying to track it down, frequently the easiest way he can rule out some of the possibilities that he is considering is for him to be able to look up what those forms would be and thus confirm that (and how) they differ from the one on which he is working. Thirdly, many of the forms which do not occur in the New Testament are found in the Septuagint and/or other koine Greek writings outside the New Testament, and those students who progress in due course to reading these other writings will find it useful to have the forms set out here.

## C6.1 PRESENT TENSE PARADIGMS

C6.11 Those set out in the Conspectus are:

## First Conjugation

C1.1 $\lambda v \omega^{\omega}$
Cl. $2 \tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ \omega$

C1.3 $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega$
C1.4 $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega$
(C1.5 to C1.9 follow
$\lambda v ́ \omega$ exactly and
therefore do not need
to be set out.)

## Second Conjugation

(C2 follows $\lambda v ́ \omega$
exactly and therefore does not need to be set out.)

C6.12 After the Present Indicative for both Active and Middle Voice in the Conspectus is set out the Imperfect, which differs from it in meaning only in having past time reference.
C6.13 The First Conjugation form consists, in each instance, of the Present stem (in the verbs given in C 1.1 to C 1.4 , this corresponds with the verb root), to which is added the neutral morph $-o / \varepsilon$ - and the pronoun suffix. Where the stem ends in a short vowel $(-\alpha,-\varepsilon,-0)$ this short vowel contracts with the neutral morph according to the rules of contraction (\#E2.2).
C6.14 Note the similarities and differences in the forms of the Infinitive. All these forms can be explained on the basis of these rules: (a) When the verb takes the neutral morph (i.e. in the First and Second Conjugations), this is added to the stem first, and contracts with it if it ends in a short vowel. (b) For Middle forms, add the Middle Voice morph, $-\sigma \theta$-. (c) Now add the Infinitive morph: if being added directly to the neutral morph (whether this has contracted or not), this Infinitive morph is $-\sigma \varepsilon v$, which then loses the $-\sigma$ - by syncopation (\#E2.5), after which the $-\varepsilon-$ of $-\varepsilon v$ contracts with the vowel which precedes it; in all other instances add -v $\alpha \iota$ to a preceding vowel (as in the Third Conjugation active infinitives) or $-\alpha \iota$ to a preceding consonant (as in all Middle infinitives).
C6.15 The following generalizations concerning the Third Conjugation only apply in part to $\varepsilon$ 'i $\mu i ́$, which exists solely in the Present, Imperfect, and Future Tenses, and which has numerous irregular forms.
C6.16 The Third Conjugation lexical form consists, in each instance, of the verb's lexal to which has then been directly added the pronoun suffix. The lexal comprises the root (also called the verb stem) plus the durative morph, which is an infix in the lexal slot. As we have seen (\#C3), the durative morph takes different forms for different classes of Third Conjugation verb. For $\delta \varepsilon i ́ \kappa v v \mu \imath$, this durative morph consists of $-v v$, which is added to the root $\delta \varepsilon \imath \kappa$ - in the lexal slot. For í $\sigma \tau \eta \mu u$, $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ and $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota$ the durative morph consists of reduplication in $-\imath$-. (For $i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \imath$, this is not $\sigma i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \imath$, but the initial $\sigma$ - has been lost and replaced by a rough breathing.)

C6.17 In the Third Conjugation Indicative Active singular of both Present and Imperfect, the short vowel of the root has been lengthened to either the equivalent long vowel or to a diphthong. In the Indicative active plurals and in all Middle forms this short vowel remains, and the pronoun endings have not affected it.

C6.18 The flexions of the Third Conjugation Subjunctive Mode (both Active and Middle) follow the pattern of $\lambda v v^{\prime}:$ the subjunctive morph consists of the addition to the lexal of a lengthened neutral morph, to which are then added in turn the usual pronoun endings used in the subjunctive (which are identical with the pronoun endings for the present of $\lambda \dot{v} \omega$ ). As for $\lambda v \dot{v} \omega$, a $-t$ - in the pronoun ending will be subscript under the lengthened neutral morph. Where the stem ends in a long vowel, this remains uncontracted (as for the present Active and Middle of $\delta \varepsilon i \kappa v v \mu \imath$ ); where the stem ends in a short vowel (as for $i ̋ \sigma \tau \eta \mu t$, $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \imath$ and $\delta i \delta \omega \mu t$ ), this contracts with the long vowel of the Subjunctive endings. Notice that the contraction of -0 - with $-\eta$ - will produce $-\omega$-, as in the subjunctive flexions of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$. Allowing for the effect of this contraction in the case of $\delta_{i}^{\prime} \delta \omega \mu \tau$, Third Conjugation Present Subjunctives have the same endings as $\lambda \dot{v} \omega$.
C6.19 Note that the Present Active Optative of $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} \mu \bar{\mu}$ is $\varepsilon i \eta \eta v$. This follows the conjugation of $\varepsilon$ ź $\sigma \tau \eta v$ (the Third Aorist Indicative Active of $\bar{i} \sigma \tau \eta \mu t$ ), though on occasions, in the usage of some writers, the $-\eta$ - in the plural is absorbed by the $-\varepsilon l$ - diphthong through contraction. However, in the New Testament the only optative form of $\varepsilon i \mu i$ which occurs is the third person singular $\varepsilon$ i' $\eta$ (twelve times).

## C6.2 AORIST TENSE PARADIGMS

C6.21 Those set out in the Conspectus are:

## First Conjugation

C1.1 $\lambda$ v́ $\omega$
(C1.2 to C1.7 follow the conjugation of $\lambda v ́ \omega$ exactly and therefore do not need to be set out. C 1.8 and C1.9 follow $\lambda v \omega^{\omega}$ but with $-\alpha$ not $-\sigma \alpha$ as the punctiliar morph - see
Liquid Verbs, \#C1.82.)

| Second Conjugation | Third Conjugation |
| :---: | :---: |
| C2 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ <br> (All second aorists follow the conjugation of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega)$ | C3.1a 1 " $\tau \eta \mu$ ı |
|  |  |
|  | C3.1c $\delta i \delta \omega \omega \mu$ |
|  | C3.2 бєiкvขиı |
|  | C3.4 $\beta$ 人ív $\omega$ |
|  | С3.5 $\gamma$ ıvढ́бк $\omega$ |
|  | C3.6 ¢úv $\omega$ |
|  | (There is no aorist for |
|  | C3.3, $\varepsilon$ ' $\mu$ í.) |

Second Conjugation C2 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$
All second aorists of $\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega)$

## Third Conjugation

C3.1a ī $\sigma \tau \mu \tau$
C3.1b $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \tau$

C3.4 $\beta$ ívo
С3.5 $\gamma เ v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$
C3.6 ס́v́v
(There is no aorist for C3.3, $\varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{i}$.

C6.22 Note that the Second Conjugation adds the neutral morph to its lexal before taking its distinctive endings. In the Second Conjugation Indicative, the same endings are taken by the Imperfect and the Aorist, so that the only difference between the two flexions for a Second Conjugation verb is the durative morph which these verbs add in forming their Present stem. For $\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ this is the second $-\lambda$ which is added to the verb root $\beta \alpha \lambda$ - as an infix into the lexal slot. Thus the Imperfect and Aorist Active and Middle flexions for $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ are:

## ACTIVE

| Imperfect | Aorist |
| :---: | :---: |
| غ́ß $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ оv | ¢́ $\beta \alpha \lambda$ оv |
| $\varepsilon ̇ \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma$ | ¢́ $\beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \varsigma$ |
| ¢ $\beta$ 人 $\alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$ (v) | ¢́ß $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{(v)}$ |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ о $\mu \varepsilon v$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda о \mu \varepsilon \nu$ |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ |
| ¢́ß $\beta \lambda \lambda \lambda$ оv | ¢̌ $\beta \alpha \lambda$ ov |

Similarly, the second $-\lambda$ is the only difference between the Present and Aorist flexions for the other modes of the verbs.

C6.23 Only the plural forms of the third aorist flexions of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \tau$ and $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \tau$ were in use, and these were rare in New Testament times. Instead, the usual aorist forms of these verbs were first aorist flexions, $\check{\varepsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ (\#С3.85, \#C3.87). Moreover, $\delta \varepsilon i ́ \kappa v v \mu \iota$ has only a first aorist flexion, $\varepsilon \check{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \alpha$. But $\not \approx \sigma \tau \eta \mu \imath$ has both the third aorist flexion $\varepsilon \not \approx \sigma \tau \eta v$ and the first aorist flexion $\varepsilon ँ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha$, with different meanings. (For a fuller discussion, see \#C3.82 and \#C3.87.) There are also two forms of the Perfect Participle of $\imath \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ : $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \omega \dot{s}$ (given in the Conspectus), and $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega ́ s$ (follows $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda v \kappa \omega \dot{\xi}$, from $\lambda v \dot{\omega}$ ), and there are thus two forms of the Perfect Subjunctive Active, using these two participles respectively. Both participles have the same meaning, "standing" (intransitive). (For the full flexion of $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \omega \varrho$, see \#D5.33.)
C6.24 The rules for the formation of the Present Infinitives (\#C6.14) also explain the Aorist Infinitives. Note that in the Active, the second aorist takes the neutral morph and then the infinitive ending, and thus in accordance with Rule (c) in \#C6.14 this is first $-\sigma \varepsilon v$, becoming $-\varepsilon v$ after the $-\sigma$ drops out. This in turn gives $-\varepsilon \hat{\imath} v$ (after contraction with the neutral morph). Thus, for $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ : $\beta \alpha \lambda-\varepsilon-\sigma \varepsilon v \rightarrow \beta \alpha \lambda-\varepsilon-\varepsilon v \rightarrow \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i v$. In no other aorist infinitive is the infinitive morph added to the neutral morph, so for the first and third aorist active infinitives and for all aorist middle and passive infinitives the infinitive morph is always $-v \alpha l$ (if added to a vowel) or - $\alpha l$ (if added to a consonant, including the $-\sigma$ - of the aorist morph $-\sigma \alpha$ - when the $-\alpha$ - is lost by elision before the $-\alpha$ ).
C6.25 Two forms of the 2nd Singular Aorist Active Imperative are found for both ï $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \tau$ and $\beta \alpha i v \omega$. Both alternatives are given in the Conspectus.
C6.26 The flexions of the aorist Subjunctive Mode follow the same differing pattern between the Conjugations as for the Indicative.
(a) A First Conjugation verb has (by definition) a lexal which is inherently durative (\#3.68), and forms its aorist tense by adding a "switching" morph, the punctiliar morph $-\sigma \alpha$-, in the aspect slot, Slot 7 (\#C0.11). This switches the verb's aspect from durative to punctiliar. The Active and Middle flexions of the aorist subjunctive are formed by adding this $-\sigma \alpha$ - in front of the lengthened neutral morph in each of the forms of the present subjunctive, and the $-\alpha$ - then elides.
(b) A Second Conjugation verb has (by definition) a lexal which is inherently punctiliar (\#3.68), and forms its aorist tense by adding the neutral morph (\#C0.11), which leaves its aspect unaltered. In the Active and Middle flexions of the aorist subjunctive, this neutral morph is lengthened, and the same pronoun endings are added as in the First Conjugation aorist subjunctive.
(c) A Third Conjugation verb has (by definition) a lexal which is inherently punctiliar (\#3.68), and it forms its aorist tense by adding the endings directly to its lexal, which, for the subjunctive, is the verb's root. Thus in the subjunctive, the lengthened neutral morph of the subjunctive plus the standard subjunctive pronoun endings are added to the verb's root. When this root ends in a long vowel, no contraction occurs (see \#C3.6, $\delta v \tilde{v}$ ); where the root ends in a short vowel this vowel contracts with the lengthened neutral morph and the contraction is marked with a circumflex. Notice that the contraction of -0 - with $-\eta$ - will produce $-\omega$-, as in the aorist subjunctive flexions of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu t$ and $\gamma i v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$. Allowing for the effect of this contraction in the case of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \tau$ and $\gamma_{i} v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, Third Conjugation Present Subjunctives have the same endings as $\lambda v \dot{\omega} \omega$. (Both $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \imath$ and $\gamma i v \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ also have alternative irregular third person singular forms in -oî.)
C6.27 Greek tends to avoid having two aspirates commencing successive syllables: hence in the Aorist Passive $\tau \dot{i} \theta \eta \mu \nu$ does not become (as would be expected) " $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta \nu$ " but the first $-\theta$ - is deaspirated, giving the form $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \eta v$. Similarly also, $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ (\#C4.4). For a fuller treatment of deaspiration, see \#E2.8.
C6.28 Second and Third Conjugation aorists differ from the First Conjugation only in the Active and Middle. The verbs of all three Conjugations are Third Conjugation in the Aorist Passive - that is, they take the passive morph and then add the third aorist endings directly (without an aspect morph). (Re "second aorist passives", see \#C4.12.)
C6.29 It will be noted that numbers of Third Conjugation forms do not follow the Short Vowel Lengthening Rule (\#E2.31), but the stem vowel remains short instead.
C6.3 CONSPECTUS OF THE THREE CONJUGATIONS
C3.3 $\sqrt{\text { É }} \sigma$ -



$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\star}$

## C3.2 $\sqrt{ }$ סetk-





C3.1c $\sqrt{ } \delta_{0}$
C3.1b $\sqrt{ } \boldsymbol{\theta} \varepsilon$ -
$\tau i ́ \theta \eta \mu \imath$
n
2
0
0
0
0
0
0
SíSouev
$\delta ı \delta o ́ \alpha \sigma l(v)$

N- M
$\delta 1 \delta \omega \bar{\omega}$
$\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$
$\delta_{1} \delta \omega \tau \varepsilon$
2
$\stackrel{3}{6}$
0
0
0
0


## C1.4 $\sqrt{ } \pi \lambda \eta \rho o-\quad$ C3.1a $\sqrt{ } \sigma \tau \alpha-$

$\tau i ́ \theta \eta \sigma ı(v)$
$\tau i \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v$
$\tau i \theta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$
$\tau \iota \theta \varepsilon ́ \alpha \sigma l(v)$
Only $\lambda v v^{\prime} \omega$ and $\varepsilon \dot{\prime} \mu i ́$ have any forms of the Present Active Optative in the New Testament:
$\lambda v{ }^{\prime} o l \mu ı$

C1.1 $\sqrt{ } \lambda v-\quad$ C1.2 $\sqrt{ } \tau \iota \mu \alpha-\quad$ C1.3 $\sqrt{ } \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon-$

## PRESENT ACTIVE: INDICATIVE

 $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o i ̄ \varsigma$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \mu \varepsilon v$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ$ ขิ ${ }^{1}(v)$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \iota(v)$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega}$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\imath} \varsigma$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\imath}$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \varepsilon$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma l(v)$
PRENT ACTIVE:

| $\lambda v{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\tau \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \omega$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ vets | $\tau \tau \mu \underset{\sim}{\varsigma}$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i{ }^{\prime}$ |
| $\lambda$ v́عı | $\tau \tau \mu \dot{\alpha}$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \imath ิ$ |
| $\lambda$ v́oucv | $\tau \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda o v ิ \mu \varepsilon v$ |
| $\lambda$ ข์ع $\tau$ | $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ \tau \varepsilon$ |
| $\lambda$ ข́ovoı(v) | $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota(v)$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma l(v)$ |

## Imperfect

| $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau i ́ \mu \omega v$ | غ́ $\lambda \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda o v v$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau i ́ \mu \alpha \varsigma$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon$ ¢ |
| غ̇ $\tau \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau$ |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tau \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \lambda o \hat{v} \mu \varepsilon v$ |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tau \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̀ \tau \varepsilon$ |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \alpha \lambda o v v$ |

## PRESENT ACTIVE: SUBJUNCTIVE

$\tau \imath \mu \omega \quad \lambda \alpha \lambda \omega$ $\tau \mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \quad \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \varsigma$
$\begin{array}{ll}\tau \imath \mu \hat{\alpha} & \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \\ \tau \imath \mu \mu \varepsilon v & \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v\end{array}$
$\tau \imath \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \quad \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \tau \varepsilon$ $\tau \imath \mu \omega \sigma \iota(v)$

PRESENT ACTIVE: OPTATIVE

## PRESENT ACTIVE：IMPERATIVE

| $\lambda \hat{\nu} \varepsilon$ | $\tau i \mu \alpha$ | $\lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \iota$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda \nu \varepsilon ̇ \tau \omega$ | $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i \tau \omega$ |
| $\lambda$ ข์์ $¢$ | $\tau \downarrow \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̄ \tau \varepsilon$ |
| $\lambda v \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ | $\tau \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ |
| PRESENT ACTIVE：INFINITIVE |  |  |
| $\lambda$ v́cıv | $\tau \mu \hat{\alpha} v$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ v$ |
| PRESENT ACTIVE：PARTICIPLE |  |  |
| $\lambda v$ v́ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\tau \mu \hat{\nu}$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \omega v$ |
| $\lambda$ ¢́ovo $\alpha$ | $\tau \nu \mu \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda 0 v 0 \sigma \alpha$ |
| $\lambda \hat{o} o v$ | $\tau \tau \hat{\omega} v$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda 0 \hat{v}$ |
| 入úovtos （D5．11） | $\tau \chi \varrho ิ \nu \tau \circ \varsigma$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ov̂v $<0 \varsigma$ |

PRESENT ACTIVE：INFINITIVE
PRESENT ACTIVE：PARTICIPLE $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ิ v$



| $\imath \sigma \tau \eta$ i $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |
| í $\sigma \alpha$＇́ $\tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$ |
| i $\quad \tau \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ |
| iбđо́s |
| $i \sigma \tau \alpha \hat{\alpha} \alpha$ |
| í $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}$ |
|  |


| $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\rho o v}$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о$ vi $\tau$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{v} \tau \varepsilon$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v ́ \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ |
| :---: |
| $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{v} \nu$ |
| $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v ิ v$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ$ ขิv $\tau \circ \varsigma$ | $\lambda v \varepsilon$ тíu人 $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \imath$ $\lambda v \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega$

$\lambda v \in \varepsilon \varepsilon$愛芯芯芯

 $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v ́ \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$
C1．4 $\sqrt{ } \pi \lambda \eta \rho o-$
C3．1a $\sqrt{ } \sigma \tau \alpha-$
C3．1b $\sqrt{ } \boldsymbol{\theta} \varepsilon$－
$\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \imath \theta \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$
蘶

C3．1c $\sqrt{ }$ 8o－
סído $\mu \alpha \imath$
z Z ت㭡

言
 סєíкvo
סєıкvvit бєі́кvvтє

סєıкvv́vaı



$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta i \delta \delta ́ \mu \eta \nu$
．
غ̇סío $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$
黄 o

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Z } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \％ | : З‘or |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

C3．2 $\sqrt{ }$ deıı－
E
Y
бíסov $\tau \alpha \downarrow$
PRESENT MIDDLE：SUBJUNCTIVE $\tau \ell \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \quad \lambda \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \mu l$ $\tau \tau \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \imath$
$\tau \iota \hat{\alpha}$ $\tau \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$ $\tau \tau \mu \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ $\tau \iota \omega \hat{\omega \tau \alpha}$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha \iota$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \rho \hat{\imath}$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \hat{\sigma} \theta \varepsilon$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} v \tau \alpha \iota$

$\tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota$
$\tau \iota \theta \hat{\eta}$
$\tau \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$
$\tau \iota \theta \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \alpha$
$\tau \imath \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon$
$\tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega} v \tau \alpha \iota$


## $\delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ $\delta \iota \delta \omega v \tau{ }_{l}$ <br> $\delta t \delta \omega \hat{\omega} \mu t$

| PRESENT MIIDDLE：OPTATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apart from verbs following Paradign C1．1，$\lambda \dot{v} \omega$ ，no Present Middle／Passive Optatives occur in the New Testament，except two form $\delta \dot{v} v \alpha \mu \alpha_{l}$（deponent following the Middle of $\bar{\imath} \sigma \tau \eta \mu t$ ）．These forms（and the $\lambda \dot{v} \omega$ equivalents－see \＃C1．12）are： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st Singular $\lambda v o i ́ \mu \eta v \quad \delta v v \alpha i ́ \mu \eta v$ 3rd Plural $\lambda$ v́oıv $\tau o \quad \delta v ́ v \alpha \imath v \tau o$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRESENT MIDDLE：IMPERATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| גv́ov <br> $\lambda \nu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ <br> $\lambda$ v́ $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ <br> $\lambda v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v$ | $\tau \mu \hat{\omega}$ <br> $\tau \tau \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ <br> $\tau \nu \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ <br> $\tau \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda o ̂ v$ <br> $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ <br> $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ <br> $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ | $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v}$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о v ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{v} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о v \sigma \theta \omega \omega \sigma \nu$ | ï $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma o$ $i \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \theta \omega$ ï $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ $i \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v$ | $\tau i ́ \theta \varepsilon \sigma o$ <br> $\tau \tau \theta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ <br> $\tau i \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ <br> $\tau \ell \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v$ | ঠíסoбo <br> $\delta i \delta o ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ <br> $\delta i \delta o \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ <br> $\delta t \delta o ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v$ | бєíкvvбo סєıкvv́ $\theta \omega$ бєі́кvvб日を $\delta \varepsilon \iota \kappa v v ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha v$ |
| PRESENT MIDDLE：INFINITIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\tau \mu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \downarrow$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta \alpha l$ | $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ | İ $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \downarrow$ | $\tau i \theta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ | סíסoб $\theta \alpha \downarrow$ | $\delta \varepsilon і ́ \kappa v v \sigma \theta \alpha \downarrow$ |
| PRESENT MIDDLE：PARTICIPLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| доо́иєvos <br> $\lambda$ voнє́v $\eta$ <br> дขó $\mu$ кvov <br> $\lambda$ ขouévov <br> （D5．14） | $\tau \mu \omega ́ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ <br> $\tau \iota \mu \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ <br> $\tau \mu \omega ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ <br> $\tau \mu \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ | $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ои́ $\mu \varepsilon V \circ \varsigma$ <br> $\lambda \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ <br> $\lambda \alpha \lambda o v{ }^{\mu} \mu v o v$ <br> $\lambda \alpha \lambda o v \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ | $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о$ v́ $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о v \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v o v$ <br> $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ$ инє́vov | í $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ i $\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ íт白 $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ i $\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ | $\tau \iota \theta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s$ <br> $\tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ <br> $\tau \iota \theta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v$ <br> $\tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ | $\delta_{1 \delta o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma}$ $\delta_{1} \delta о \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ бiסóucvov סiסoućvov （D5．34） | бєıкvv́uєvos бєוкขvนє́v $\eta$ бкıкvv́ $\mu \varepsilon v o v$ $\delta \varepsilon เ \kappa v v \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$ |

PRESENT PASSIVE（ALL MODES）：Use the Middle forms


劀


|  |
| :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \boxed{8} \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |

C3．4 $\sqrt{ } \beta \alpha-$
Apart from verbs following $\lambda \dot{v} \omega$ ，the only New Testament Aorist Active Optative is $\delta \dot{\rho} \eta$（ $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{l}$ ，3rd singular）．



$\delta \varepsilon i ̂ \xi \alpha l$
芯
Y尔幽

s．
z̀
0
0
0.0
0.0
0.0
$\delta o v ิ v \alpha t$

 AORIST ACTIVE：IMPERATIVE $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma o v \quad \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \quad \sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \theta l / l^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\lambda v \sigma \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \quad \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega \quad \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$
$\lambda v \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$
$\lambda v \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v \quad \beta \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v \quad \sigma \tau \eta \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$
AORIST ACTIVE：INFINITIVE

AORIST ACTIVE：PARTICIPLE
$\begin{array}{lll}\lambda v ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma & \beta \alpha \lambda \omega ́ v & \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \varsigma \\ \lambda v \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha & \beta \alpha \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha & \sigma \tau \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \\ \lambda \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha v & \beta \alpha \lambda o ́ v & \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{v} \\ \lambda v \sigma \alpha v \tau o \varsigma & \beta \alpha \lambda o ́ v \tau o \varsigma & \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau o \varsigma \\ \text {（D5．12）} & & \end{array}$

AORIST PASSIVE：INDICATIVE غ́ $\lambda \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \nu \quad \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \dot{\theta} \theta \eta v \quad \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \theta \eta v$

（D5．13）（D5．33）

NOTE：In the aorist passive non－indicative modes（as below），all verbs conjugate each flexion the same as the paradigm of $\lambda v v^{\prime} \omega$（\＃C1．12）：










 $\lambda v \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha l \quad \beta \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \alpha l \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha l$ PERFECT ACTIVE：INDICATIVE $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda v \kappa \alpha \quad \beta \dot{\beta} \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha \quad$ ह̈ $\tau \tau \eta \alpha$ PERFECT ACTIVE：INFINITIVE $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda v \kappa \varepsilon ́ v \alpha l \quad \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta ́ \kappa \varepsilon v \alpha l \quad \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v \alpha_{l}$ PERFECT ACTIVE：PARTICIPLE $\lambda \varepsilon \lambda v \kappa \omega ́ s \quad \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \omega ́ s \quad \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \omega ́ s$ $\begin{array}{lll}\lambda \varepsilon \lambda v \kappa v i ̂ \alpha ~ & \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa v i ̂ \alpha & \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \\ \lambda \varepsilon \lambda v \kappa o ́ s & \beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa o ́ s & \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau o ́ s\end{array}$入єдvко́тоऽ $\beta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa о ́ \tau о \varsigma ~ \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tilde{\sigma} \tau о \varsigma$

$-\delta v \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$
 －$\tau \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$
$\delta \varepsilon \iota \chi \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$
$-\beta \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ $-\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \eta \kappa \alpha$ $-\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \varepsilon ́ v \alpha l$ $-\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \omega ́ s$
$-\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa v i ̃ \alpha$
$-\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ s$
$-\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau о \varsigma$范
 － － － さN
 $-\varepsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \nu$
$-\varepsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \zeta$
$-\varepsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$
$-\varepsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \mu \varepsilon v$
$-\varepsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \tau \varepsilon$
$-\varepsilon \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$




фعíסoнои

$\chi \alpha \rho i \zeta \rho \mu \alpha t$
spare
fear grant/forgive
$\chi \rho \alpha ́ o \mu \alpha \downarrow$
$\psi \varepsilon v ́ \delta о \mu \alpha \downarrow$

use deceive/lie

C7.6 There are a number of verbs which are deponent only in their future tense, and have active forms in the present and the other tenses. Because of this special feature, these verbs need to be carefully noted. There are fourteen verbs which have deponent future forms in the New Testament. (A number of other verbs which occur in the New Testament but not in the future tense are not included here, though they have deponent futures in literature outside the New Testament.)

| $\alpha i \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega$ | $\alpha i \rho \eta ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha l$ | \#C2.8) | take away | óp $\alpha \omega$ | óqo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |

C7.7 Rarely, one encounters a reverse deponent - an active form with passive meaning. One such is $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \lambda \omega \lambda \alpha$, the direct flexion perfect active from $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu t(\# C 4.3)$, meaning "I have been destroyed", which is passive (see \#C3.2).

## C8. IRREGULAR VERBS

## C8.0 REGULARITY AND IRREGULARITY IN VERBS

C8.01 A general description can be given of how the Greek verb behaves in constructing all its forms. The behaviour of most flexions of most verbs can be covered by such a description, and a verb is said to be regular if all its forms are derived from its lexical form with complete regularity in accordance with such a general description of verb behaviour.
C8.02 Such a general description includes descriptive phonemic rules ${ }^{33}$. These are rules which describe the effect upon a particular tense or form which results because the verb's stem ends in one phoneme (or sound, represented by a letter) rather than another. Thus the descriptive rules can be stated to cover all the different phoneme groups of a language. An example of such a rule is the Short Vowel Stem Rule, in its two parts: "A short vowel stem verb (a) lengthens this short vowel when it adds a morph that begins with a consonant, and (b) contracts this short vowel with the following vowel when it adds a morph that begins with a vowel" (see \#E2.11, \#E2.31). Another descriptive rule will cover the pattern of this vowel contraction. Similarly, the Labial Amalgamation Rule states, "A final labial amalgamates (a) with a following - $\sigma$ - to form $-\psi$-; (b) with a following rough breathing or $-\kappa$ - to form - $\phi$-" (see \#E2.61). And so on. All these descriptive rules have been set out in their appropriate places in this book.
C8.03 It can be seen that all the nine paradigms of the First Conjugation are regular, because the differences between them are entirely related to the particular phonemes with which their verb stems end, and can be stated in terms of those phonemes. Thus upon the basis of these descriptive rules, it is possible to know what the form will be for any part of any tense of any regular verb, when you are given the lexical form of that verb.
C8.04 This can be summed up by saying that, upon the basis of the descriptive rules, all the forms of all the tenses of a regular verb are entirely and accurately predictable.
C8.05 An irregular verb is a verb which has some forms that are not predictable from its lexical form, on the basis of the descriptive rules. ${ }^{34}$
C8.06 The extent of the irregularity can vary from very small (for example, in the verb $\alpha i v \varepsilon ́ \omega$, for which the irregularity is only that it does not lengthen its short stem vowel $-\varepsilon$ - to $-\eta$ - in forming its
various tenses - see \#C1.48) to very extensive (for example, in the case of the suppletives see \#C2.8).

C8.07 The irregularities of irregular verbs occur in the formation of the tense stem for each of its Principal Parts (see \#9.6). Once a particular Principal Part is known, all the forms and flexions derived from that Principal Part will follow regularly, according to the paradigm of the verb's particular Conjugation. Exceptions to this are almost non-existent; the only one of any consequence is the durative aspect of $\zeta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$, live/be alive.
C8.08 The durative forms of $\zeta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$, together with the regular forms of $\tau \tau \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ for comparison, are:

| PRESENT <br> INDICATIVE |  | IMPERFECT INDICATIVE |  |  | INFINITIVE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\zeta \omega$ | $\tau \mu \mu \widehat{\omega}$ |  | $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \omega$ |  |  | $\tau \ell \mu \hat{\alpha} v$ |
| $\zeta$ ¢ns | $\tau \mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$ |  | غ̇тіцоб |  | PART | CIPLE |
| $\zeta \hat{0}$ | $\tau \mu \hat{\alpha}$ |  |  | NS M | $\zeta \omega \nu$ | $\tau \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ |
| $\zeta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ | $\tau \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta ¢ \mu \varepsilon \nu$ |  | F | $\zeta \omega \sigma \alpha$ | $\tau \mu \omega \bar{\sigma} \alpha$ |
| $\zeta \eta{ }^{\text {¢ }} \tau \varepsilon$ | $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \dot{¢} \hat{\eta} \tau$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tau \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$ | N |  | $\tau \mu \omega\rangle$ |
| $\zeta \omega \sigma l(v)$ | $\tau \iota \mu \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota(v)$ |  | $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ | GS M/N | $\zeta \omega \nu \tau 0 \varsigma$ | $\tau \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \circ \varsigma$ |

For both $\zeta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ and $\tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ \omega$ the present subjunctive of each verb is identical with its respective present indicative flexion. No forms of the optative or imperative of $\zeta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ occur in the New Testament. It will be noticed that the "irregularity" of $\zeta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ is that it has $-\eta$ - in its ending wherever $\tau \tau \mu \alpha ́ \omega$ has $-\alpha$-. This arises because the root of the verb is in fact $\zeta \eta$ - rather than $\zeta \alpha$-.
C8.09 Irregular verbs can be classified according to the nature of the irregularity. Many of the various categories or groups of irregular verbs have already been discussed in this Appendix.

## C8.1 SHORT VOWEL STEM VERBS WHICH DO NOT LENGTHEN THE VOWEL

These have been discussed in \#C1.48.

## C8.2 VERBS WHICH ADD - $\varepsilon$ - IN FORMING THE FUTURE AND/OR PERFECT STEM

These have been discussed in \#C1.88.

## C8.3 VERBS OF THE SECOND AND THIRD CONJUGATIONS

C8.31 Although most verbs of the Second and Third Conjugations follow regular patterns in the formation of their other tense stems from their aorist root, what the Principal Parts will be cannot be predicted in advance, either from the lexical form or from the aorist of a verb. That is, the Principal Parts need to be separately noted for each verb of these Conjugations. Thus, as they have Principal Parts which are unpredictable, these verbs must all be classified as irregular.
C8.32 The verbs of the Second and Third Conjugations found in the New Testament are listed and discussed in C2 and C3 respectively.

## C8.4 VERBS WITH DIRECT FLEXIONS/TWO ASPECT MORPHS

Verbs in these two categories have been discussed in \#C4 and \#C5 respectively.

## C8.5 DEPONENT VERBS

C8.51 Many of these verbs are regular in their forms; their irregularity consists of the fact that they take a middle or passive form with active meaning, instead of an active form. It could be argued however that merely being deponent does not mean that a verb is irregular, as the fact of its being deponent is indicated by the lexical form being middle, not active.

C8．52 Some deponent verbs，though，are irregular in other ways．Certainly the verbs that are deponent only in their future（\＃C7．6）are to be classified as irregular，because this feature is unpredictable from their lexical forms．

C8．53 The deponents found in the New Testament are listed and discussed in \＃C7．

## C8．6 VERBS WHICH CHANGE THEIR ROOT VOWEL TO $o / \alpha$ IN THE PERFECT

C8．61 Liquid verbs with a monosyllabic root which have $-\varepsilon$－as the vowel in their root regularly change this vowel to $-\alpha$－in the perfect and／or aorist passive．The seven monosyllabic liquid verbs which occur in the New Testament－and all of which follow this pattern（see \＃C1．85）－are：

| $\alpha{ }_{\alpha}$ | rise | ć $\omega$ | $\dot{\alpha}$ | $\alpha$ | $\alpha$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ октві́v曰 | kill | $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \tau \varepsilon \vee \varepsilon ̇ \omega$ | $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon \downarrow \sim \alpha$ |  |  | 位 |
| $\delta \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$ | thrash | （ $\delta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ¢́ $\omega$ ） | ह̈ $\varepsilon \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ |  | （ $\delta \varepsilon ́ \delta \alpha \rho \mu \alpha)^{\text {）}}$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \delta \alpha ́ \rho \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \lambda \lambda \mu \alpha \sim$ | command |  | غ̇veє̇ı $\lambda \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ | － | $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \varepsilon ̇ \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \downarrow$ |  |
| $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \rho \omega$ | sow | （ $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ¢ $\omega$ ） | ع̌ $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ | （ $火 \sigma \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha$ ） | हैб $\sigma \alpha \rho \mu \mu \downarrow$ |  |
| $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \lambda \omega$ | sen | $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon$ ¢́ $\omega$ | है $\sigma \tau \varepsilon 1 \lambda \alpha$ | ¢ $\%$ б $\tau \alpha \lambda \kappa \alpha$ | غ̈ $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \downarrow$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \eta \nu$ |
| $\phi \theta \varepsilon i ́ \rho \omega$ | ruin | $\phi \theta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ¢́ $\omega$ | z＇$\phi \theta \varepsilon \iota \rho \alpha$ | （ $¢ ¢ \theta \phi \rho \kappa \alpha$ ） | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ } \times \theta \alpha \rho \mu \alpha t$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \nu$ |

C8．62 Quite a number of other verbs change their stem vowel to－$O$－in the perfect active and／or to $-\alpha$－in the perfect middle／passive（and also，usually，in the aorist passive）．Where the -0 －is followed by the $-\kappa \alpha$－of the perfect active，it lengthens to $-\omega$－in accordance with the Short Vowel Lengthening Rule（\＃E2．31）．

C8．63 There are eleven such verbs which occur in the New Testament in a form affected by one or both of these changes，and three other New Testament words（ $\lambda \varepsilon i \pi \omega$ ，$\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega$ and $\tau i \kappa \tau \omega$ ）the perfect active of which does not actually occur in the New Testament but which are included here for their usefulness in illustrating the change and／or because the perfect is found in other Christian writings of the Hellenistic period．In addition there are two other New Testament words（given in square brackets）which in Hellenistic Greek are found only in the perfect，the present being obsolete．

| үívou ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | become | $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \dot{\prime} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ | $\gamma \varepsilon$＇${ }^{\prime}$ Ov $\alpha$ | $\gamma \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon$ ¢́v $\quad \mu \alpha \_$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［ $¢$ ¢ $\theta \omega$ ］ | be accustomed | － | － | عí $\omega \theta \alpha$ | － | － |
|  | be like | － | － | ع̌Oı $\kappa \alpha$ | － | － |
| $\lambda \varepsilon і ́ \pi \omega$ | leave | $\lambda \varepsilon i ́ \psi \omega$ |  | （ $\lambda \varepsilon$ ¢ $\lambda 0 \imath \pi \alpha)$ | $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı \mu \mu \alpha_{\imath}$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \in \dot{\text { í }} \phi \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \omega$ | suffer | － | č $\pi \alpha \theta$ OV | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi o v \theta \alpha$ | － | － |
| $\pi \varepsilon$ ı́ $\theta \omega$ | persuade | $\pi \varepsilon i \sigma \omega$ | ¢́ $\pi \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha$ | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi o ı \theta \alpha$ | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \imath \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega$ | send | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \psi \omega$ | е゙ $\pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \alpha$ | （ $\pi \underline{\varepsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\prime}$ | （ $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \mu \mu \mu \imath$ ） | $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \bar{\varepsilon} \mu \phi \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\pi i ́ v \omega$ | drink | $\pi i o \mu \alpha$ | ¢゙лılov | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega \kappa \alpha$ | － | $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi<0 ́ \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ | fall | $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ |  | $\pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \tau \omega \kappa \alpha$ | － | － |
| $\pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \kappa \omega$ | weave | （ $\pi \lambda \bar{\varepsilon} \xi \omega)$ | č $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \xi \% \alpha$ | （ $\pi \bar{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \varepsilon \chi \alpha)$ | （ $\pi \varepsilon$ 白 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \iota)$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \lambda \kappa \prime \sim \nu$ |
| $\pi \lambda \eta$ ¢ $\sigma \omega$ | strike | （ $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \xi \omega)$ | \％$\pi \lambda \eta \eta \alpha^{\prime}$ | － | － | －$\varepsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \nu$ |
| $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \phi \omega$ | turn | $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \psi \omega$ | ع̌ $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$ | （ $火$ \％$\sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \alpha$ ） | ह́ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \iota$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \nu$ |
| тíк兀ん | bear | $\tau \bar{\varepsilon} \xi \omega$ | ย̌тєкоง | （ $\tau \varepsilon ์ \tau О \kappa \alpha)$ | － | $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \eta \eta \nu$ |
| －$\tau \rho \varepsilon$ ¢́ $\tau \omega$ | turn | （ $\tau \rho \varepsilon$ ¢́ $\psi \omega)$ | －$\varepsilon$ ¢ $\tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$ | （ $\tau \varepsilon ์ \tau \rho \circ \phi \alpha)$ | （ $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \imath)$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \nu$ |
| $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \phi \omega$ | nourish | （ $\theta \rho \varepsilon ์ \psi \omega$ ） | ¢́ $\theta \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$ | － | （ $\tau \varepsilon$ 白 $\rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \imath$ ） | $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \nu$ |
| $\phi \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega$ | carry | oı̂$\sigma \omega$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \eta ้ v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \circ v \\ \eta \\ \eta=v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \end{array}\right\}$ | غ̇vク́voұ $\alpha$ | － | $\eta$ ท＇vé $\chi \theta \eta \nu$ |

C8.64 When nouns are formed from verbs which have $-\varepsilon$ - as their vowel, it is common for such nouns to have $-o$ - in place of the $-\varepsilon$-. Some examples:

 $\dot{v} \pi о \mu о v \eta \dot{\prime}(\dot{\jmath} \pi \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega)$

## C8.7 DIGAMMA VERBS

C8.71 In ancient times, a number of Greek words had contained a letter digamma, $F$ (see Footnotes \#4 and \#5), which dropped out of use well before the period of Hellenistic Greek. When the digamma had been the first letter of a verb root, its disappearance meant that in the past tenses (the imperfect and aorist) the verb form's syllabic augment $\varepsilon$ - was brought next to the first vowel of the lexal. When the first vowel of the lexal was $-\varepsilon$ - or $-\tau$-, the two vowels then contracted in accordance with the regular rules, to give $\varepsilon t$-. The verb $\bar{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \kappa \omega$ ("pull, drag, draw") became $\varepsilon$ "́ $\lambda \kappa \omega$ after the digamma was dropped; in the imperfect it would originally have been $\varepsilon$ ќ $\digamma \lambda \kappa \kappa \nu$, and then
 the perfect flexion lost the reduplicated and initial digamma, the $-\varepsilon$ - of the reduplication contracted with the first vowel of the lexal, if $-\varepsilon$ - or $-\tau$-, to give $\varepsilon \tau$-. Thus the perfect of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \kappa \omega$ is $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \kappa v \kappa \alpha$ (the perfect does not occur in the New Testament, but is found elsewhere).
C8.72 There are also verbs with original roots commencing with sigma from which this sigma has been lost: thus an original " $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ " became $\varepsilon$ " $\chi \omega$. This situation is similar to that of the loss of a digamma - in such a word as this, the augment in the past tense was also brought next to the $-\varepsilon$ - of the lexal, and contracted with it. (For two words - given below - the evidence indicates that they commenced with $\sigma \digamma$, with both consonants being lost.)
C8.73 Thus words to which this has happened will have imperfect, aorist, and perfect flexions commencing with $\varepsilon l$ - (due to the contraction of $\varepsilon+\varepsilon$ or $\varepsilon+l$ ) instead of $\eta$ - (the augmented $\varepsilon$-) or long $t$ - (the augmented short $t$-). However, when a digamma has dropped out between $\varepsilon$ - and a vowel other than $-\varepsilon$ - or $-t$-, the two vowels do not contract but remain distinct. Thus, in the one verb here where the first vowel of the lexal is -o- (Fop $\alpha-$ ), this has not contracted with the prefixed $\varepsilon$ - but each vowel has continued as a separate syllable in both of the alternative perfect forms that occur, $\dot{\varepsilon} o ́ \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \omega \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha$. Similarly, the - $\varepsilon$ - and $-\alpha$ - do not contract in the flexions of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma v \mu \iota$ after the digamma between them is lost. (This word has an irregular future which retains the $-\varepsilon$ - of its aorist forms.)
C8.74 The eleven verbs found in the New Testament with forms affected in this way are:

| Present | Meaning | Root | Imperfect | Future | Aorist | Perfect | Perfect | Aorist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active ह̇óa | allow | $(\sqrt{ } \stackrel{\alpha}{ }$ ? $)$ |  | Active | Active | Active | Midd/Pass | Passive |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta i \zeta \omega$ | accustom |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| [ $\check{\varepsilon} \theta \omega$ ] be | accustomed | $(\sqrt{ } \sigma \digamma \varepsilon \theta)$ | - | - |  | $\varepsilon \grave{\omega} \omega \theta \alpha$ |  |  |
|  | be covered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | with sores | ( $\downarrow$ F $\lambda$ ккo?) | - | - | - |  | عì $\lambda \kappa \omega \mu \alpha \downarrow$ |  |
| ¢̈ $\lambda \kappa \omega$ | pull/drag | ( $\downarrow$ F $\lambda \lambda \kappa)$ | عì ${ }_{\text {kov }}$ | $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \kappa \kappa ์ \sigma \omega$ | عì ${ }^{\prime} \kappa v \sigma \alpha$ | ( $\varepsilon$ ì $\lambda \kappa v \kappa \alpha)$ | عì $\lambda \kappa v \sigma \mu \alpha)$ |  |
|  | work | ( $\sqrt{ }$ ¢ $\rho \gamma \gamma \alpha$ ) | عip $¢ \alpha \zeta$ ón | $\nu \dagger$ - | вірүобо́य | $\eta v-$ |  | вірүо́бөضv |
|  | speak | ( $\sqrt{ } \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho$ ) |  | غ̇pém | ¢ | عi้ $\quad$ ¢к $\alpha$ |  |  |
| ž $\chi \omega$ | have | $(\sqrt{\sigma \varepsilon \chi})$ | عíov |  | ع̌ष́qov | ச̆ $¢ \chi \eta \kappa \alpha$ | ерпия | عрpélv |
| [ $\check{\chi} \delta \omega$ ] | see | ( $V$ F $\delta$ ) |  | - | عídov | 相 | - |  |
| $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha<\gamma v \nu \mu$ | break | $(\sqrt{ }(\underline{\alpha} \gamma)$ | - | $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \omega$ | $\kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ์ \alpha \xi \alpha$ | - | - |  |
| ópó $\omega$ | watch | ( $\downarrow$ Foo $\alpha$ ) | - | - | - | $\{\dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \dot{\rho} \alpha \kappa \alpha$, |  | - |

In three of these verbs, the present form (given in square brackets) is obsolete, and is not found in Classical/Hellenistic Greek. In this table, if the verb has an imperfect, this is given immediately after the root, before the future. For two verbs the root is conjectural, and it is therefore followed by a question mark. In three verbs the dropped digamma or sigma has been replaced by a rough breathing ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \kappa o ́ o \mu \alpha l, ~ \check{\varepsilon} \lambda \kappa \omega$, and $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ - see the future); the others however commence with a smooth breathing.

C8.75 Verbs which had roots ending in a digamma became modified when the digamma dropped out of Greek. These descriptive rules tell what happened:
(a) Before vowel endings the digamma was simply omitted, but the vowels thus brought together only contracted in the case of $\varepsilon+\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon+\imath$ (to $\varepsilon \imath$ ), i.e. not with $\alpha, \eta, o, \omega, o \iota$, or $o v$; and this applies also to all flexion forms.
(b) Before consonant endings the digamma was replaced by upsilon.
(c) Where the stem has $-\alpha$-before the digamma, then in the present tense this $-\alpha$ - added $-\boldsymbol{r}$ - as a durative morph in the same way as liquids (\#C5.04).
These modifications can all be seen clearly exemplified in the verb коí $\omega$ (\#C8.76) - note (a) that it has two alternative forms of the aorist passive, one a regular form with $-\theta$-, and the other a direct flexion (\#C4.4); (b) that where the digamma used to occur in the regular form it was before a $-\theta$ and thus has been replaced by $-v-(\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha v \dot{v} \eta v)$; and (c) that where the digamma used to occur in the direct flexion form it was before a vowel, $-\eta-$, and thus simply dropped out but without contraction occurring ( $\varepsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \eta v)$.

C8.76 The nine verbs of this kind found in the New Testament are:

| $\dot{\alpha}$ коv́ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | hear |  | $\dot{\alpha} \kappa 0 \cup ์ \sigma \omega$ | $\eta \chi^{\prime} \kappa о \nu \sigma \alpha$ | $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \grave{\prime} \kappa о \alpha$ | ( ' $^{\prime}$ ооб $\mu \alpha ı$ ) | $\eta$ ท̇кои́ $\sigma \theta \eta \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | entreat | $(\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon}$ ) | - | , | - | ( |  |
| ¢と́㇒ | boil | $(\sqrt{ }$ ¢ $\digamma)$ | - | - | - |  |  |
| к $\alpha i ́ \omega$ | burn | $(\sqrt{ } \kappa \alpha F)$ | $\kappa \alpha v ́ \sigma \omega$ | غ̌к $\alpha v \sigma \alpha$ | - |  |  |
| $\kappa \lambda \alpha i \omega$ | weep | $(\sqrt{ } \kappa \lambda \alpha F)$ | $\kappa \lambda \alpha v ์ \sigma \omega$ | е̌к $\kappa \alpha v \sigma \alpha$ | - | - |  |
| $\pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega$ | sail | $(\sqrt{ } \pi \lambda \varepsilon F)$ | ( $\pi \lambda \varepsilon$ ט́б $\omega$ ) | ¢̈л $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ | - |  | - |
| $\pi v \varepsilon ́ \omega$ | breathe | $(\sqrt{ } \tau \nu \varepsilon \digamma)$ | ( $\pi v \varepsilon$ v́б $\omega$ ) | ¢̌ँ $\tau v \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ | - | - |  |
| $\hat{\rho}$ ¢́ $\omega$ | flow | ( $\downarrow$ ¢ $¢ \digamma)$ | $\dot{\rho} \varepsilon$ v́ $\sigma \omega$ | - | - | - | (غ่ $\rho \rho \sim{ }^{\text {un }}$ v) |
| - $\chi \dot{\sim} \omega$ | pour | $(\checkmark \chi \varepsilon F)$ | - $\chi \varepsilon \varepsilon ́ \omega$ | -غ́ $\chi \varepsilon \alpha$ | - | - |  |
| - $\chi$ ข́vva | pour | ( $\downarrow$ ¢ $)^{\text {) }}$ | - | - | (-кદ́ $\chi$ טко) | -кદ́ $\chi \nu \mu \alpha \downarrow$ |  |

C8.77 It will be seen that $-\chi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ differs from the others in this list by taking the Attic future (see \#C8.85); that is, instead of adding $-\sigma$ - to form the future it adds $-\varepsilon$ - as its future morph, like a liquid. Similarly in the aorist it rejects $-\sigma$ - (again like a liquid), giving the form $-\varepsilon \chi \varepsilon \nLeftarrow \alpha$ and thence $-\varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon \alpha$ (instead of $-\varepsilon \chi \chi \varepsilon F \sigma \alpha$ and thence $-\varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ ). It does not contract even $-\varepsilon$ - and $-\varepsilon-.-\chi v ́ v v \omega$ is a related verb supplying the other flexions.

C8.78 For $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о v(\omega$, the perfect form $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta ́ \kappa о \alpha$, with reduplication of the initial syllable (see \#C8.91), has resulted from loss of the original digamma of a primitive $\alpha \kappa \eta \kappa \kappa \circ \mathcal{\sigma}$, the $-о-$ and $-\alpha$ continuing as separate syllables, in accordance with the digamma-vowel rule, \#C8.75(a), that (except $\varepsilon+\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon+\imath$ ), vowels brought together by the disappearance of digamma do not contract. In accordance with the digamma-consonant rule [\#C8.75(b)], the digamma was replaced by $-v$ before a consonant, that is, in the future, aorist active and aorist passive. But contrary to these rules, the $-v$ - was then also retained in the present form, $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о v \omega$.

C8.79 As digamma is not present in the documents which have come down to us from the ancient world, its original occurrence in a word is sometimes hypothetical. In many cases, that digamma used to be part of a word is postulated upon strong evidence: for example, occasional inscriptions
that are very ancient and contain it; the scanning of a word in a line of verse from Homer which can only be explained on the basis of digamma having originally been present; or a parallel word in Latin which possesses the equivalent " v ", such as vidē for Greek $i \delta$-, see. It needs to be mentioned that in some cases, however, the evidence is less certain, and is based on analogy from similar or parallel words, or simply upon the fact that an original digamma provides a clear logical explanation for word forms that would be difficult to account for on any other basis.

## C8.8 IRREGULAR $\zeta \eta \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha$ VERBS

C8.81 Several $-\zeta$ verbs in the New Testament do not follow the usual pattern for dental stem verbs of taking $-\sigma$ - as their future morph and then dropping the $-\zeta$ of their root [see the "dental drop-out rule" (\#4.55, \#C1.7)]. There are two groups of these irregular - $\zeta$ verbs: those which comprise the first group behave like palatals in how they form their other tenses; those in the second group behave like liquids in the formation of their future.
C8.82 Some $-\zeta$ stem verbs are present tense forms which have arisen from an original palatal verb root, and outside the present system they behave like palatal verbs, forming their future and aorist active in $-\xi$, and aorist passive in $-\chi$ - before $-\theta$-, or in $-\gamma$ - if a direct flexion.

C8.83 There are five verbs which have these palatal forms in the New Testament, one of which also has parallel forms in the future and aorist which are formed in the regular way for a dental stem verb.

C8.84 These five verbs are:

| кра́弓ん | y out | $(\sqrt{ } \kappa \rho \alpha \gamma)$ | $\kappa \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \underset{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \kappa \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \\ \check{\varepsilon} \kappa \rho \alpha \gamma о \nu \end{array}\right\}$ | $\kappa \varepsilon ́ \kappa \rho \alpha \gamma \alpha$ | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi \alpha i \zeta \omega$ | dance, play | $(\sqrt{ } \pi \alpha \iota \gamma)$ | $\pi \alpha i \xi \omega$ |  | - | - | غ̇ $\pi \alpha \dot{\chi} \chi \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha<\zeta \omega$ | groan, sigh | $(\sqrt{ } \sigma \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha \gamma)$ | $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \cup \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \omega$ | $\underline{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ์ v \alpha \xi \alpha$ | - |  |  |
| $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \zeta \omega$ | ngthe | $(\sqrt{ } \sigma \tau \eta \rho t \gamma)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \xi \omega \\ \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \sigma \omega \end{array}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \dot{\xi} \alpha \\ \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \imath \sigma \alpha \end{array}\right\}$ |  |  | V |
| $\sigma \phi \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ | slaughter |  | $\sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$ | ह̇ठф $\alpha \xi \alpha$ | - | है $\sigma \phi \alpha$ |  |

C8.85 In the Attic dialect, verbs in $-\zeta$ did not always indicate the future by adding $-\sigma$ - as the future morph. To quote from Section 665 of Goodwin's Greek Grammar of Classical Greek: "Futures in $\imath \sigma \omega$ and $\imath \sigma o \mu \alpha l$ from verbs in $\imath \zeta \omega$ of more than two syllables regularly drop $\sigma$ and insert $\varepsilon$; then

 called Attic, because the pure Attic seldom uses any others in these tenses; but they are found also in other dialects and even in Homer. ${ }^{355}$ That is to say, these particular verbs behave as if they had, not a dental stem, but a liquid stem.

C8.86 The Attic future is usual in the Septuagint, and is found in the New Testament for a number of these verbs, especially (but not exclusively) in quotations from or allusions to the Septuagint, or passages such as Luke 1:48, in a hymn in the Old Testament style.

C8.87 There is one other New Testament verb, - $\chi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ (found only in compounds), which also behaves like a liquid and takes $-\varepsilon$ - as its future morph instead of $-\sigma$-, and this future morph $-\varepsilon$ - then similarly contracts with the neutral morph which is added in the suffix (the contraction being marked by the circumflex accent). Thus the full (uncontracted) future is - $\chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$, which contracts to $-\chi \varepsilon \widehat{\omega}$ (Acts 2:17 and 18). This contrasts with the way in which the $-\varepsilon$ - of the root resists contracting with suffixes, even those commencing with $-\varepsilon$ - (see \#C8.77).

C8.88 The ten New Testament verbs which are not liquids but which nonetheless are found with $-\varepsilon$ - as their future morph, are set out below. Note that (except for the non- $\zeta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ verb - $\chi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ ) they all
take $-\sigma \alpha$－as their punctiliar morph．For the two verbs marked $\dagger$ the regular dental future form is also found in the New Testament：$\dot{\alpha} \phi o \rho i \sigma \omega$ in Matthew 25：32 and конí $\sigma \omega$ in Ephesians 6：8 and Colossians 3：25．

|  | separate |  | ${ }^{\alpha} \phi \omega \rho / \sigma \alpha$ |  | $\dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \dot{\rho} \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ | ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \phi \omega \rho i ́ \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | draw near |  | $\eta ้ \gamma \gamma ı \sigma \alpha$ | ท̈ $\gamma \gamma 1<\alpha$ |  |  |
|  | raze totally |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \zeta \omega$ | hope，expect make clean | ${ }^{4} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi \iota \varepsilon ́ \omega$ <br> ${ }^{5} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \iota \varepsilon ́ \omega$ | $\eta ้ \lambda \pi \imath \sigma \alpha$ $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \alpha$ | グ入лıкх | кєк $\alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho ı$ | $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ |
| коніґ¢ $\dagger$ | bring，get |  | غкко́итба | － | － |  |
| $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha$ í¢ | consider happy | ＇$\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \propto ¢ \varepsilon$ ¢ $\omega$ | غ̇дкк＜́рıба |  |  |  |
|  | make to move | ${ }^{\text {® }}$ ¢єтоккı́̇ $\omega$ | $\mu \varepsilon \tau ¢ к к \sigma \alpha$ |  |  |  |
| $\pi \alpha \rho о \rho \gamma i \zeta \omega$ | make angry | ${ }^{9} \pi \alpha \rho о \rho \gamma \bar{\varepsilon} \omega$ | $\pi \alpha \rho ¢ \rho \gamma / \sigma \alpha$ |  |  |  |
| －$\chi \bar{\omega} \omega$ | pour | ${ }^{10}-\chi \varepsilon \varepsilon ์ \omega$ | $-\varepsilon ̌ \chi \varepsilon \alpha$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Matthew 13：49；${ }^{2}$ James 4：8；${ }^{3}$ Luke 19：44；${ }^{4}$ Matthew 12：21 and Romans $15: 12 ;{ }^{5}$ Hebrews $9: 14 ;{ }^{6} 1$ Peter 5：4；${ }^{7}$ Luke 1：48； ${ }^{8}$ Acts 7：43；${ }^{9}$ Romans 10：19；${ }^{10}$ Acts 2：17 and 18.

C8．89 In some manuscripts，the Attic future is also found for：$\gamma v \omega \rho i \zeta \omega$（Colossians 4：9）， $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \tau i \zeta \omega$（1 Peter 5：10），$\phi \omega \tau i \zeta \omega$（Revelation 22：5），and $\chi \rho 0 v i \zeta \omega$（Hebrews 10：37）．

## C8．9 OTHER IRREGULAR VERBS

C8．91（a）SYLLABIC（ATTIC）REDUPLICATION：The term＂Attic reduplication＂is sometimes applied to verbs beginning with a vowel which reduplicate their first syllable［though， like the Attic Future（\＃C8．85），＂The Attic reduplication（so called by the Greek grammarians）is not peculiarly Attic，and is found in Homer＂（Goodwin §530，p．127）］．When a verb commences with a vowel，then in the perfect instead of reduplicating the initial phoneme it usually takes the temporal augment on that vowel（see \＃E4．36）．Syllabic reduplication consists，in addition，of reduplicating the initial vowel and first consonant，while still lengthening the original initial vowel with the temporal augment．These verbs retain their syllabic reduplication，including the temporal augment，in their non－indicative modes．Thus the perfect of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о v=\omega$ is $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa о \alpha$ ，and its perfect
 verbs）which have this syllabic reduplication in the perfect tense（see \＃E4．38）．
（b）It is also possible to find syllabic reduplication in the aorist．In these verbs，the temporal augment will be taken on the first vowel of the reduplicated form，and as it is here a past time morph this augment will only occur in the indicative mode．There are only two such verbs which occur in the New Testament，both having a second aorist：$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ ，$\eta$ ク＇$\gamma \alpha \gamma o v$（infinitive：$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon i ̂ v$ ）；and the suppletive $\phi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$ ，$\eta ้ v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \circ v$（infinitive：$\dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon i v v$ ）．（See further，\＃E4．27．）
C8．92 Some verbs may take（either as a general rule，or as a stylistic preference of particular authors）a sigma before the suffix of the perfect middle／passive and／or aorist passive：for example， $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon i \omega$ has $\kappa \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \mu \alpha l$ instead of $\kappa \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha l$ ，and $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \theta \eta v$ instead of $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \lambda \varepsilon i \theta \eta v$ ．Similarly in the future passive of $\gamma \iota v \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$ we find $\gamma v \omega \sigma \theta$ ń $\sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha_{l}$ instead of $\gamma v \omega \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha l$（1 Corinthians 14：7）． The addition of this sigma produces an allomorphic variant of the lexal．（Re allomorphs：see \＃E3．3．）The presence of this sigma would not affect the recognizability of such forms，and so it has not been judged necessary to list verbs of this kind．

C8．93 Some writers sometimes use rare or archaic or unusual forms of particular verbs（for example，$\varepsilon$ ह́ $\gamma \eta \mu \alpha$ a variant aorist form of $\gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ \omega$ ，found three times in the New Testament as an alternative to the regular aorist，$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \sigma \alpha)$ ．Where such irregular forms are the only ones that occur in the New Testament，they have been covered in this Appendix．Where they are stylistic variants of the regular or usual forms，they are usually not included in this Appendix，but are regarded as a matter for discussion in commentaries on the Greek text．

C8.94 It happened on occasions that a new present tense was formed from an aorist or a perfect form. Thus the perfect of $\bar{\imath} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \tau$ is $\check{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$, and a new present flexion was formed to correspond with this perfect: $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\kappa} \omega$. This new form of the verb was then used in the present and imperfect flexions.

C8.95 Apart from the abovementioned cases, all the verbs which are irregular in the New Testament are dealt with in this Appendix. A number of verbs which exhibit more than one irregularity are referred to in relation to each irregular feature. To find a particular verb, look it up in the Greek Index (\#G3.6, Appendix G), which gives the cross reference(s) to the place(s) where that verb is described and/or its Principal Parts are set out.

## C9. VERB GROUPS FOR NEW TESTAMENT VERBS

C9.1 It will be of interest to see the relative numbers of New Testament verbs in the different verb groups (classified according to stem and conjugation).

C9.2 The figure that is given for the number of verbs in the New Testament will vary depending upon the New Testament text that is used and how verbs are counted and classified. The text used here is that of the United Bible Societies Greek New Testament, Third and Fourth Editions. This summary treats compound verbs as being, morphologically, forms of the simplex verb, and does not count them separately unless the simplex form is unused and the compounds are unrelated in their usage. Where separate and distinct forms of a verb are found in the New Testament belonging to different conjugations (for example, i i $\sigma \alpha \dot{v} v \omega$ and $\bar{\imath} \sigma \tau \eta \mu t$ ) or to different subgroups within the one conjugation (for example, $-\chi \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ and $-\chi \hat{v} \nu v \omega$ ), the two verbs are treated separately and each is counted in its appropriate group. Verbs are listed as Second or Third Conjugation if (and only if) such a form occurs in the New Testament, and under First Conjugation otherwise (even if Second or Third Conjugation forms are found outside the New Testament).

C9.3 Using this basis for classification, there will be found to be one thousand verbs in the Greek New Testament, distributed into verb groups as follows:

|  | LABIALS <br> $\pi \tau$ TA Sub | PALATALS $\zeta \sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma T \Lambda$ Sub |  | ENTALS TA Sub |  | LIQ | DS |  | Cons. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Conj. | 181937 | 515242165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd Conj. | 134 | $13-1014$ |  | 7 |  | 1 | - |  | 33 |
| 3rd Conj. | - - - | - - 66 |  | - - | 11 |  |  | 2 | 0 |
| VOWEL | LONG VOWEL STEMS |  |  | SHORT VOWEL |  | Vowel | No | Cons. | TOTAL |
| STEMS | $\downarrow$ v $\omega$ 人 $\downarrow$ | $\varepsilon ı$ ol $\alpha v \varepsilon v$ ov |  | $\alpha$ | Sub | Total |  | Total |  |
| 1st Conj. | 421 - | 1370 | 105 | 78235 | 91404 | 509 | 3 | 418 | 930 |
| 2nd Conj. | 1 | - - - - |  |  |  |  | (7) | 33 | 34 |
| 3rd Conj. | 123 | 1 - - - | 7 | 12 | $2 \quad 19$ | 26 |  | 10 | 36 |

Cons. $=$ Consonant. $T \Lambda=T \dot{\alpha} \Lambda o i \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, the remainder (of the category). Sub $=$ Subtotal (of that category). $+v=$ words with $v$ added to stem. No Pres. $=$ No Present Stem.

